

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 8482 09/842,833 04/27/2001 James J. Barry 12013/58401 **EXAMINER** 26646 06/20/2006 7590 KENYON & KENYON LLP STEWART, ALVIN J ONE BROADWAY PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT NEW YORK, NY 10004 3738

DATE MAILED: 06/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s) |  |  |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 09/842,833       | BARRY ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit     |  |  |
| Alvin J. Stewart | 3738         |  |  |

|   | Alvin J. Stewart  | 3/38  |   |  |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c   | orrespondence add   | ress                                    |  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>5/23/06</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  |   |   |   |  |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:  | the same day as filing a Notice of<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o            | Appeal. To avoid aba<br>idavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C | nce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)          |  |
| <ul> <li>a)</li></ul>   | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing   | g date of the final rejecti   | on.                                     |  |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7   | 06.07(f).   |   |   |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount<br>shortened statutory period for reply orig<br>r than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropr<br>inally set in the final Offi                 | iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp<br/>filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte<br/>a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed</li> </ol>   | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to   | avoid dismissal of th   | ns of the date of<br>the appeal. Since  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |   |   |   |  |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,<br>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co<br>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)   | nsideration and/or search (see NO   |   | ecause                                  |  |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or   |   | ducing or simplifying   | the issues for                          |  |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1  |   | ected claims.   |   |  |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1   |   | mpliant Amendment   | (PTOL-324).                             |  |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)  |   |   | ` ,                                     |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a<br/>non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   | llowable if submitted in a separate,  |   |   |  |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:   | ⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi<br>vided below or appended.   | II be entered and an o  | explanation of                          |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-11</u> .  |   |   |   |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |   |   |   |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be<br/>because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an<br/>was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>   | d sufficient reasons why the affida   | vit or other evidence i   | s necessary and                         |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fa<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(                          | ils to provide a<br>1).                 |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e   | ntry is below or attac  | ned.                                    |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by  | ut does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                            |  |
| <ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>   | •   |   |   |  |
|   | 9   | 4. Sauct  |   |  |
|   |   | LVIN J. STEWART   | 3                                       |  |

Art Unit: 3738

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The new limitations entered in independent claim 1 belong to a previous rejected claim 4.